

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS www.uspto.gov

۴

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/074,168 | 02/12/2002 | Charles M. Knop | 47176-00727USPT | 5026 | |
| 30223 | 7590 03/13/2003 | | | | |
| JENKENS & GILCHRIST, P.C. 225 WEST WASHINGTON SUITE 2600 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | CLINGER, JAMES C | | |
| CHICAGO, IL 60606 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2821 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003 | DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/074,168 | | Knop et | al. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner Jim Clinge | , | Art Unit 2821 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sheet wi | th the corres | spondence addr | ess | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> | MONTH | H(S) FROM | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the lf NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause to Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | he statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MONTH he application to become ABAI | (30) days will b S from the maili NDONED (35 U.S | e considered timely. ng date of this comm S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 12, 2 | | | | · · | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This ac | tion is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa | - | | | ne merits is | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> | | is/are | e pending in th | e application. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | | is/ar | e withdrawn f | rom consideration. | | | |
| 5) 🔯 Claim(s) <u>14 and 28</u> | | | is/are allowed | | | | |
| 6) 🛛 Claim(s) <u>1-13, 15-27, and 29-43</u> | | | is/are rejected | . | | | |
| 7) | | | is/are objected | d to. | | | |
| 8) | are subje | ct to restric | ction and/or ele | ection requirement. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on Feb 12, 2002 is/are | e a) 💢 accepted or | b) 🗆 objecte | ed to by the Ex | caminer. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p | priority under 35 U.S. | C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha | | | | <u> </u> | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the action for a list of | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a |)). | this National | Stage | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | | | (e). | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | | |
| 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4 | 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/074,168 Page 2

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 9, 23 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 9, 23 and 39, the limitation "optionally" in line 2 makes the claim indefinite because it is not clear if the limitation which follows is to be considered in determining patentability.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-13, 15-27 and 29-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Antenna Engineering Handbook by Richard Johnson in view of Wilson(4,658,258).

Application/Control Number: 10/074,168

Art Unit: 2821

The Antenna Engineering Handbook(AEH) discloses flare pyramidal horn elements with corrugated interior surfaces(pages 15-25 and 15-28).

Page 3

The AEH does not disclose the use of the recited equation relating wavelength, aperture width and half angle.

Claims 1-3, 12, 16-17, 26, 29, 32-33, 41 and 43, Wilson discloses selecting horn antenna design parameters based on the value of the tangent of the half angle. While the horn in Wilson has chokes and not a corrugated surface, Wilson does disclose that the chokes can be substituted for the corrugated surface(col. 2, lines 42-68). Wilson(cols. 3-4) also discloses an analysis of his horn which is some what similar to an analysis for a corrugated horn. It would be an obvious modification of the analysis disclosed in Wilson for the analysis to be performed on a corrugated horn based on this disclosure since both devices are in the horn art. The equation(col. 3, line 17) obtained in Wilson relates the tangent of the half angle to the dimensions of the horn chokes and wall thickness. These horn dimensions variables are independent of the wavelength as are the variables which are set equal to the tangent expression recited in the claims of this application. It is a design choice to choose the values of these variables to be any value well known in the art. The recited values would be such values.

Claims 4-8, 18-22 and 34-38, the AEH discloses E-plane and H-plane walls having perpendicular corrugations.

Claims 9, 23 and 39, Wilson discloses a rectangular waveguide(fig. 2, no. 17).

Claims 10-11, 24-25, 30-31 and 40, see analysis in specification of Wilson(cols. 3-4).

Application/Control Number: 10/074,168

Page 4

Art Unit: 2821

Claims 13, 27 and 42, the AEH discloses multi mode horns.

Claim 15, the use of the above disclosed horn antenna in a cellular communications system is obvious based on the common and well known use of other similar horn antennas in cellular communications systems.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the equation concerning the horn half angle to determine the dimensions of the horn disclosed in the AEH for improved horn performance.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 14 and 28 are allowed.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter.

The limitation which is primarily responsible for distinguishing these claims over the prior art is the limitation concerning the absorber lined interior surfaces. The prior art does not disclose proper motivation for combining references which disclose absorber lined horn interior surfaces with the references which disclose the other limitations recited in these claims.

Correspondence

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Jim Clinger whose phone number is (703) 305-0619.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,168 Page 5

Art Unit: 2821

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Papers related to Technology Center 2800 applications only may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Any transmission not to be considered an official response must be clearly marked "DRAFT". The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Technology Center Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 or (703) 308-7724.

Janle